

COMMENT

30.05.2020

Originally published by Carnegie Endowment for International Peace

Pandemic Heightens Need to Reset Belarus-Russia Ties

Yauheni Preiherman

The old rules of Belarus and Russia's alliance may no longer apply. Will the two neighbours find a way to update them?

Among its many victims, the coronavirus pandemic is about to claim yet another: the unwritten understanding that has for the past quarter century helped Belarus and its vastly bigger and more powerful neighbor Russia manage their relationship. Formally the closest of allies, Belarus and Russia are the two members of a <u>union state</u> set up in 1999 to help mitigate the consequences of the breakup of the Soviet Union.

Yet the two nations have struggled for years to balance Russia's ambitions to maintain its <u>privileged sphere of influence</u> and control its neighbors' foreign policy against Belarus's desire to preserve both its independence and its privileged ties with the Russian economy. In recent years, Minsk has rejected a number of <u>proposals</u> from Moscow for closer integration, including a <u>single currency</u>, common legislative initiatives, and various <u>supranational governing bodies</u>.

The pandemic has dealt a severe blow to this uncertain arrangement. Its old rules—geopolitical loyalty in exchange for privileged economic relations—may no longer apply. What makes matters worse is that current frictions come amid extraordinary global tensions.

Turbulent times

Throughout his two decades at the helm, Russian President Vladimir Putin has made increasing demands on the former Soviet republics. He expects greater political and geopolitical loyalty, even fealty, from Russia's neighbors in exchange for economic aid. For example, Putin <u>suggested</u> as early as 2002 that Belarus should either become part of Russia or prepare for economic benefits to be curtailed.

Since then, Belarusian-Russian relations have suffered multiple setbacks, including a series of energy and trade disputes. During periods of escalation, Moscow blocks imports of certain Belarusian goods or cuts oil and gas deliveries to its neighbor—the latter being particularly harmful considering Belarus's energy dependence on Russia, the inefficient economic system it inherited from the Soviet Union, and the key role oil refining plays in its economy. The Belarusian government typically responds by signaling that its geopolitical loyalty should not be taken for granted and that it is fully capable of reaching accommodation with the West. This goes on for a while, until Minsk strikes a mutually acceptable deal with Moscow and drops talk of better relations with Brussels and Washington.

That dynamic changed after 2014, when events in Crimea and Donbas triggered a major confrontation between Russia and the West. As geopolitical tensions rose, Minsk remained neutral, resisting pressure from Moscow to unequivocally take the Kremlin's side. While Russia tends to see any Belarusian policy short of full support of its position as abandonment, Minsk fears that Moscow's actions could entrap Belarus—wedged between Russia and NATO—in a security conflict at odds with its own interests.

A new bargain?

Russia and Belarus's old grand bargain has now lost its value for both sides. Moscow cannot get the degree of geopolitical loyalty that it wants, and Minsk has little else to offer Moscow to sustain the economic relationship from which it has benefited so much. This is not to say that Belarus is about to suffer the same fate as Ukraine, but Moscow and Minsk will now have to redefine the meaning of their union.

The coronavirus pandemic has already taken a further toll on the relationship between the two allies. Their <u>border</u> is closed for the first time in almost three decades. Their uncoordinated <u>responses</u> to the outbreak have fueled new tensions, and their main television channels have engaged in a <u>war of words</u>, accusing each other of failing to deal with the pandemic and spreading disinformation. The economic fallout from the pandemic is bound to leave everyone worse off.

COMMENT / 30.05.2020

Moscow will expect more from Minsk in exchange for economic aid, while Europe and the United States will be distracted with their own problems.

Yet neither Russia nor Belarus is eager to break with the old relationship completely. For Minsk, the risk of being seen as another Ukraine would carry catastrophic consequences. Moscow's interests would also be poorly served by worse relations with a neighbor on NATO's already tense Eastern flank.

The best alternative may be to hammer out a new bargain—not necessarily a grand one—through a series of smaller deals, relying on the wisdom of the two countries' leaders, who between them have over fifty years of experience managing the bilateral relationship. To many in the West, this will seem like a highly unsatisfactory arrangement, but does anyone have a better one?

Yauheni Preiherman

Director, Minsk Dialogue Council on International Relations